

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,606	CHAPMAN, GARY DOUGLAS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RICHARD PANTOLIANO JR	2194

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) RICHARD PANTOLIANO JR. (3) _____.
 (2) John A. Merecki (Reg. No. 35,812). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 13-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Merecki 11 March 2008 on behalf of Meng-Ai T. An, Supervisory Patent Examiner for art unit 2194, to inform him that, after performing a search, the application could be placed in condition for allowance by incorporating the limitations of Claims 14 and 15 into independent claims 1 and 7, and by writing dependent claim 13 in independent form. Mr. Merecki agreed to the proposed changes and submitted a supplemental amendment making said changes.

Examiner contacted Mr. Merecki on 21 March 2008 to inform him that claim 13 suffered a potential 35 U.S.C. 101 issue and proposed a remedy for said issue. Mr. Merecki authorized examiner to correct said issue via examiner's amendment.